For the Northern District of California

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

///

///

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	JARRITOS, INC.,	No. C-05-2380 JSW (EMC)
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER FINDING MOOT PLAINTIFF'S
10	v.	MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL DEPOSITIONS
11	LOS JARRITOS, et al.,	(Docket No. 100)
12	Defendants.	
13		/
14		
15	On December 5, 2006, the Court held a conference call on Plaintiff's motion for additional	
16	depositions. The Court concluded that five depositions had been taken by Plaintiff so that it is	
17	entitled to take five more depositions under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See Fed. R. Civ.	
18	P. 30(a)(2) (providing for ten depositions). Two of the remaining five depositions have already bed	
40	' 1/' D''' 0 01 134'''	T ' \ TT

noticed (i.e., Dipietro & Shea and Mission Economic). Therefore, Plaintiff may notice an additional three depositions. Plaintiff represented that, given the Court's method of counting depositions, it would not need additional depositions beyond the ten permitted under the Federal Rules. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for additional depositions is moot. ///

Although not raised by Plaintiff in its motion, the Court takes note that it shall not bar Defendants from taking one additional deposition given that it gave the benefit of the doubt to Plaintiff in counting the number of depositions that had been taken by Plaintiff.

This order disposes of Docket No. 100.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 5, 2006

EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge